PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

TRANSLATION INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference ME 62448PC			ce	FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No.				International filing dat	e (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/EP2004/011709			709	18.10.2004	4	17.10.2003		
Internat	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC							
A61	A61L2/07, A61G9/02							
Applicant MEIKO MASCHINENBAU GMBH & CO. KG								
1.	1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2.	This R	REPORT consists	of a total of	8	sheets, including	this cover sheet.		
3.	This re	eport is also acco	mpanied by Al	NNEXES, comprising:				
	a. >	(sent to the	applicant and	to the International Bur	reau) a total of 2	sheets, as follows:		
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).							
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental							
	_	Box.						
	ь	sent to the	International I	Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number	r of electronic carrier(s))		
	, containing a sequence listing and/or tables							
	related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).							
4.	This re	eport contains inc	lications relatio	ng to the following item	s:			
	\boxtimes	Box No. I	Basis of the	report				
		Box No. II	Priority					
		Box No. III	Non-establis	shment of opinion with	regard to novelty, inventi	ive step and industrial applicability		
	Ш	Box No. IV	Lack of unit	y of invention				
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
		Box No. VI	Certain docu	uments cited				
		Box No. VII	Certain defe	cts in the international	application			
	\boxtimes	Box No. VIII	Certain obse	ervations on the internat	ional application			
Date of submission of the demand				Date of completion of thi	s report			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP					Authorized officer			
Facsimile No.					Telephone No.			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/011709

Box No.	I	Basis of the report				
		I to the language , this report is based on the internation der this item.	nal application in the language in which	it was filed, unless otherwise		
		eport is based on translations from the original langua is the language of a translation furnished for the purp		,		
		international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))				
		publication of the international application (Rule 12.4))			
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/	or 55.3)			
rec	_	regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the viving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to report):				
	the int	ternational application as originally filed/furnished				
\boxtimes	the de	escription:				
	pages	1-13		as originally filed/furnished		
	pages					
	pages		•	.		
∇	the cla					
	-			11 61 16 1		
	nos.	1-10				
	nos.*					
	nos.*					
	nos.*		received by this Authority on			
K	the dr	awings:				
	sheets	1/1		as originally filed/furnished		
	sheets	*	received by this Authority on			
	sheets	*	received by this Authority on			
	a sequ	nence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplement	ental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.			
3.	The a	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description, pages				
		the claims, nos.				
		the drawings, sheets/figs				
		the sequence listing (specify):				
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		_		
4.		report has been established as if (some of) the amend have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as fil				
		the description, pages				
	\boxtimes	the claims, nos. 1-10				
		the drawings, sheets/figs				
* If i		plies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "supe				

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/011709

Box		Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1.	Statement					
	Novelty (N)		5, 8-10	YES		
		Claims	1-4, 6, 7	NO		
Inventive step (IS)		Claims		YES		
		Claims	1-10	NO		
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-10	YES		
		Claims		NO		

- 2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)
 - 1.1 Document EP-A-0679406 (D1) discloses (the references in parentheses are to the figure in that document) a process for cooling (see claim 12) disinfected products to be cleaned, the process being carried out thermally in a chamber (7) of an automatic cleaning and disinfecting machine (1) having an outlet (X) (see claim 8), a washing or cleaning program with a variable sequence of program steps being implemented within the automatic cleaning and disinfecting machine. That process has the following steps:
 - (a) the final cleaning of the product being cleaned in the chamber is carried out with water (see claim 2) to which auxiliary substances are added,
 - (b) the products to be cleaned in the chamber are thermally disinfected (see claim 1),
 - (c) after the products to be cleaned are thermally disinfected, air is forced into the closed chamber (claim 12), and
 - (d) used air is discharged from the closed chamber through the outlet (X), while the

International application No. PCT/EP2004/011709

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement door of the chamber is kept closed (see column 7, lines 26-29). D1 thus appears to deprive the process as per claim 1 of novelty (PCT Article 33(2)). 1.2 D1 also appears to disclose the additional technical features of dependent claims 2, 3, 4 and 6 (PCT Article 33(2)). Consequently, the process as per dependent claim 3 does not appear to involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)). 2.1 The objection under PCT Article 33(2) with regard to the claimed process also appears to apply to the device as per claim 7, for similar reasons. The devices as per dependent claims 8-10 do not appear to involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

- 1. If the problem addressed is that of avoiding reinfection of the disinfected products to be cleaned (see page 4, lines 18-19, of the description), the use of sterile cooling air, as defined in claim 6, would be an essential feature (PCT Article 6).
- 2. In the original claim 1, it is stated in point (c) that air is introduced into the closed chamber; this can be construed to mean that the door of the chamber is closed. However, it is not stated anywhere in claim 1 that the chamber must be airtight when the door is closed. In particular, it cannot be excluded that air enters or exits through the outlet. In the embodiment depicted in the figure, air-tightness is ensured by a siphon (3), yet this is not mentioned in the original claim 1. Since it appears that the siphon is an essential feature necessary for sealing the chamber, and since the siphon originally appeared only in the embodiment depicted in the figure, the used air pipe (6) fitted with a return valve (7) and which connects the chamber (1) to the outlet (2) downstream of the siphon, would also be essential (cf. observation in Box I, point 1.1).
- 3. The original claim 1 mentions a thermal disinfection stage. However, the description and the original independent claim 7 indicate that this relates to sterilisation with steam, which

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/011709

Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application		
	represents a narrower restriction. This		
	contradiction no longer exists in the amended		
	claims which contravene PCT Article 34(2)(b).		

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of:

BOX I

Basis of the report

 Feature (d), which comprises the outlet of a siphon (which can receive a water reserve and ensure the sealing of the chamber), was included in claim 1.

This type of siphon was disclosed in the original application only in connection with the embodiment depicted in the figure. In that embodiment, the siphon was disclosed, inter alia, in combination with the used air pipe (6) fitted with the return valve (7). That used air pipe represents a bypass to the siphon and appears to represent an essential feature of the embodiment depicted in the figure.

Although the present claim 1 represents a restriction to the original claim 1, it must be considered to be an arbitrary restriction. It should not be allowed to amend claims by including individual features of an embodiment, while neglecting their combination with other features of the same embodiment.

Although the device claim 7 mentions the used air pipe, it does not state that it should represent a bypass to the siphon.

Supplemental Box

Although claims 2 and 5 mention the used air pipe (6) and a shut-off element, respectively, it is nowhere stated in these claims that the used air pipe is supposed to represent a bypass to the siphon.

Consequently, all the claims contravene PCT Article 34(2)(b) at least because of the abovementioned missing features.

For this reason, the assessment of novelty (PCT Article 33(2)) and inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)) was based on the original claims 1-10.

2. In addition, it should be mentioned that a used air pipe (6) is provided between the chamber and the outlet in the independent device claim 7.

However, an used air pipe (6) appears for the first time only in the dependent process claim 2, not in claim 1. Consequently, there appears to exist a contradiction between the two independent claims with regard to the essential features (PCT Article 6).